



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/815,613	04/02/2004	Kia Silverbrook	HYG012US	9405
24011 7590 01/08/2009 SILVERBROOK RESEARCH PTY LTD 393 DARLING STREET BALMAIN, 2041 AUSTRALIA			EXAMINER HESS, DANIEL A	
			ART UNIT 2876	PAPER NUMBER
			MAIL DATE 01/08/2009	DELIVERY MODE PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/815,613	SILVERBROOK ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	DANIEL A. HESS	2876	

All Participants:

(1) DANIEL A. HESS.

(2) Kia Silverbrook.

Date of Interview: 16 December 2008

Type of Interview:

☐ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

n/a

Claims discussed:

24

Prior art documents discussed:

n/a

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Daniel A Hess/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2876

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Time: 4:00 pm

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner explained by email to pro-se inventor Kia Silverbrook (Mr. Silverbrook, who is based in Australia, has approved of email correspondence with the PTO) the necessity of amending claim 24 in a manner similar to claim 1, to clearly recite that both product identity code on a product item and user identity code on an identity card are sensed by the scanning device scanning across an opening of the shopping receptacle, both of these data being sent to a computer system. Without this amendment the claim recites these two data reads in the alternative. In an email response, the Mr. Silverbrook approved of such an examiner's amendment.